29th October 1935]

Police

Territorial and communal representation in the matter of the recruitment of Sub-Inspectors of Police.

* 230 *Q.*—Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder: Will the Hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the matter of giving due share of representation to each district in the selection of Sub-Inspectors of Police in each year is considered by the Government;

(*b*) what the number is of vacancies of Sub-Inspectors that occurred in each year in each district, and what the number is of persons recruited for such posts in each year in each district since the year 1930;

(*c*) how many applications have been received for such posts in each year from each district and how many from each community; and

(*d*) of these, how many have been selected from each community?

A.—(*a*) Selection of candidates for appointment as Sub-Inspectors of Police is made on the basis of ranges. The selection is not made by the Government but by the Deputy Inspectors-General of Police in consultation with the Madras Services Commission.

(*b*) The information is given in the statements [a] appended.

(*c*) The Government have no information.

(*d*) The information is given in statement [a] No. II appended.

Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder:—" From a perusal of the statement given in the appendix in answer to this question, I find that out of the nine vacancies that arose in Salem district in 1930 only two have been selected from that district, out of nine in 1931 only two, out of three in 1932 none has been given and out of five in 1933 only one from that district has been given the chance. May I know, Sir, why such a poor representation was given to Salem even when there was a greater number of vacancies there? "

The Hon. Rao Bahadur A. T. Pannirselvam:—" Government have never considered the question of districtwar representation in any of their services."

B. Pocker Sahib Bahadur:—" May I know why in the statement given in answer to this question no figures have been furnished about the selection made in 1935? "

The Hon. Rao Bahadur A. T. Pannirselvam:—" I do not know if the selection for the year 1935 has yet been completed."

Khan Bahadur Mahmud Schamnad Sahib Bahadur:—" May I know if the Government will be pleased to select the candidates on the basis of districts instead of on the basis of ranges? "

The Hon. Rao Bahadur A. T. Pannirselvam:—" I am afraid I cannot give a very hopeful answer to that."

---

[a] Printed as Appendix III on pages 106–109 infra.

[29th October 1935

Mr. P. V. Krishnayya Choudari :—" Out of the ten candidates who have been selected for the posts of sub-inspectors for the five years from the year 1930 to 1934 in the Guntur district, only four non-Brahman Hindus have been selected. May I know in what proportion the non-Brahman candidates are selected as compared with other caste candidates and why the proportion of non-Brahman Hindus is so poor? "

The Hon. Rao Bahadur A. T. Pannirselvam :—" Probably the non-Brahmans of Guntur district are too well off to seek service in the Police department."

Representations for opening an outpost police station in Elampillai village, Salem district.

* 231 Q.—Diwan Bahadur S. Ellappa Chettiyar: Will the Hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether any mahazar was received by the Inspector-General of Police from the residents of Elampillai and other neighbouring villages of Salem taluk for opening an outpost Police station in the village; and

(b) if so, what orders have been passed in the matter?

A.—(a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

Land Revenue Administration

Posting of outsiders to the Revenue department in Anantapur district.

* 232 Q.—Mr. G. Rameswara Rao: Will the Hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state whether persons from other districts are being brought into Anantapur district for posts in the Revenue department, such as Sub-Magistrates and Deputy Tahsildars?

A.—Since 1929 three persons have been appointed from other districts to the Madras Revenue Subordinate Service in the Anantapur district. One of these was transferred in 1929 in exchange for a Tahsildar in the Anantapur district. The other two—one, a member of the scheduled classes and the other, a Christian—were appointed as Deputy Tahsildars in 1935, as candidates belonging to those communities were not available in the Anantapur district for appointment according to the communal turn.

Reclassification of lands between one settlement and another on the basis of improvements effected by the ryots.

* 233 Q.—Mr. P. V. Krishnayya Choudari: Will the Hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have issued any order for altering the classification of lands for assessment between two settlements on the basis of the improvements done to the lands by the ryots between two settlements;